

At the Right to Information Commission of Sri Lanka

G.H. MANGALA .VS. NATIONAL LIBRARY AND DOCUMENTATION

SERVICES BOARD

RTIC Appeal (In Person) 489/2018 (Order adopted as part of a formal meeting of the Commission on 07.01.2018).

Order under Section 32 (1) of the Right to Information Act No. 12 of 2016 and Record of Proceedings under Rule 28 of the Right to Information Rules of 2017 (Fees and appeal procedure).

Chairperson: Mr. Mahinda Gammampila

Commission Members: Mr. S.G. Punchihewa

Dr. Selvy Thiruchrandran

Justice Rohini Walgama

Present: Director General- Piyatissa Ranasinghe

Appellant: G.H. Mangala

Notice issued to: Director General - National Library and Documentation

Services Board

Appearance/Represented by:

W. Sunil - Director General

M.A.A.N. Muthukuda- Assistant III

G.H. Mangala

RTI Request filed on: 01.05.2018

IO Responded on: no response

First appeal to DO filed on: 22.05.2018

DO responded on: 24.05.2018

Appeal to the RTIC filed on: 06.06.2018

Facts in appeal albite brief are as follows:

The Appellant by his request dated on 01.05.2018 has made the request to the Information officer of the Public Authority in terms of Section 24 of the Right to Information Act No. 12 of 2016, for the following information inter alia;

Information re the marking scheme that was followed in the interview for the post of Documenting Officer class ii held on 20.04.2018.

As the afore said information could not be obtained from the IO and DO of the Public Authority the Appellant appealed to the Commission accordingly.

Matters arising during the hearing

The Commission after issuing notice on both parties fixed the hearing of the appeal for 07.01.2019. On the said date both parties were present. It was noted by the Commission that the PA has already sent a letter to the effect that the above said date the interview has not been held, nevertheless the PA could release the marking scheme adopted in the said interview to the Appellant.

Hence the Commission moved to conclude the appeal.

Order is hereby conveyed to both parties in terms of Rule 27 (3) of the Commission's rules on Fees and Appeal Procedures (Gazette No. 2004/66, 03.02.2017)

The Appeal is concluded.
