

# The Right to Information Commission

S. Kalavathi,  
No.64, Aalaiyadivembu Road,  
Navatrkadu,  
Akkaraippattu

**-Appellant-**

**RTIC App/No : - 437/2022**

Vs.

Social ENVO Vision Organization,  
Main Street, Chenaikkudiyiruppu -01,  
Kalmunai

**-Public Authority-**

**Before : -**

1. Justice Upaly Abeyrathne (Rtd.) - Chairman
2. Ms. Kishali Pinto-Jayawardena (Attorney-at-Law) - Commissioner
3. Mr. Jagath Liyana Arachchi (Attorney-at-Law) - Commissioner
4. Mr. Mohamed Nahiya - Commissioner

**Appearance :** The Appellant is absent and represented by Mr. Sugirtharan (Son of the Appellant) – via T.P no. 076 7965015

The Public Authority represented by Ms. Rasilavathi, Deputy Executive Director and Recovery Officer – via Zoom Technology.

**Written Submission :**

The Appellant on : Not submitted

The Public Authority on : Not submitted

**Date of Hearing : -** 29.08.2022 & 12.10.2022

**Decided on : -** 12.10.2022

## **Decision of the Commission:**

**Factual Background:**

**The Appellant by request dated 22.10.2021 requested the following information;**

- *How much did Sinnathambi Surendiran, my husband pay money in your Financial Company until his death?*
- *How much have been given by you?*

- *Can you provide me the reason for non-provision of insurance for his death?*

As the Information Officer failed to respond within the time period stipulated in the Act, the Appellant lodged an appeal with the Designated Officer dated 12.11.2021. As the Designated Officer too failed to respond within the time period stipulated in the Act, the Appellant preferred an appeal to the Commission dated 01.01.2022.

The Public Authority has sent a letter dated 21.01.2022, (via fax) stating follow;

- Loan obtained by Sinnathambi Surendiran – 150,000/-
- Loan obtained date - 2012.11.12
- Paid amount to date - 120,403/-
- Last payment date - 2017.08.30
  
- Loan obtained by Sugumar Tharshika – 150,000/-
- Loan obtained date - 2012.11.12
- Paid amount to date - 122,441/-
- Last payment date - 2017.08.30

The above mentioned both was given period of 3 years to complete the loan payment in fully. They were not insured because they had no wish to insure the loan when they obtained it.

### **Consideration:**

The Public Authority informed that they have provided the information to the Appellant. The Appellant said he did not receive the said response of the Public Authority. Accordingly, the Public Authority is directed to send a copy of the said response to the Appellant with copies to the Commission.

The Director-General is directed to convey the Order to the Appellant, the Information Officer and the Public Authority.

Appeal concluded.