

The Right to Information Commission

P. Abojithan,
Ward No-02,
Mulliyawalai,
Mullaitivu

-Appellant-

RTIC App/No : - 341/2021

Vs.

Divisional Secretariat,
Maritimepattu

-Public Authority-

Before : -

1. Rt. Justice Upaly Abeyrathne - Chairman
2. Rt. Justice Rohini Walgama - Commissioner
3. Kishali Pinto-Jayawardena (Attorney-at-Law) - Commissioner
4. Mr. Jagath Liyana Arachchi (Attorney-at-Law) - Commissioner

Appearance Appellant is absent and unrepresented.

Public authority is absent and unrepresented.

Written Submission The Appellant on : - Not submitted
The Public Authority on : - 21.02.2022

Date of Hearing : - 23.02.2022

Decided on : - 23.02.2022

Decision

Brief Factual Background

The Appellant by request dated 18.12.2019 requested the following information;

1. *Copy of the documents pertaining to the funding through the Gamperaliya project for development of the **Christian Maatha temple of Puliyamunai** under the*
2. *Divisional Secretariat Division of Maritimpattu, Mullaitivu district during 2018-2019*
3. *Copy of the documents pertaining to the development activities carried out during this program.*
4. *A copy of the inventory of the goods purchased, along with the amount of money spent on each of the program.*
5. *A copy of the invitations for bid called by the Divisional Secretariat division for this project.*
6. *A copy of name list of bidders*
 - a. *The copy of the policy document based on which the bidders were selected*
 - b. *The copy of the minutes of tender finalization committee meeting*
 - c. *The copy of name list of selected bidders*
7. *A copy of the agreement made between divisional secretariat and the contractors for the construction*
8. *A copy of the report of supervisor who supervised the activities under this project*
9. *A copy of the report of technical officer who supervised the activities under this project*
10. *The details of the contractor who constructed the Gamperaliya name board in the area where the project was carried out.*

As the Information Officer failed to respond within the time period stipulated in the Act, the Appellant lodged an appeal with the DO on 07.01.2020. As the Designated Officer too failed to respond within the time period stipulated in the Act, the Appellant preferred an appeal to the Commission on 19.02.2020

In response to the notice issued by the Commission, the Public Authority sent a letter dated 06.05.2021 via email received on 21.02.2022, addressed to the Appellant, with a copy to the Commission, and including the documents requested by the Appellant.

Consideration

Appellant informed the Commission that he received information requested by him.

Accordingly appeal is concluded.

The Director-General is directed to convey the Order to the Appellant, the Information Officer and the Public Authority.

Appeal Concluded.